Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Europ

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Jakober, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7688



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

_				
	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion	
1.	With the I	Vith regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With	ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			
		as	equence listing	
	Ε] tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:			
		in v	written format	
		in c	computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing:				
		Э со	ntained in the international application as filed.	
	1	☐ file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	[□ fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Add	Additional comments:		
_	Box	No. I	Priority	
1.	×	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.		
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
☐ the entire international	the entire international application,				
☑ claims Nos. 13-39	claims Nos. 13-39				
because:					
the said international does not require an in	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
the description, claims unclear that no mean	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 13-39 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
see separate sheet					
the claims, or said cla could be formed.	ims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion				
☐ no international searc	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
the nucleotide and/or C of the Administrativ	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
the written form	☐ has not been furnished				
	☐ does not comply with the standard				
the computer readabl	e form				
	☐ does not comply with the standard				
the tables related to t not comply with the to	he nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do echnical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
☐ See separate sheet f	or further details				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,2,40,76,78,82,84

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

3-12,41-75,77,79-81,85-95

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-95

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

well-bearing component having a lower surface, an upper surface and a side; a plurality of wells disposed on said upper surface and a light source configured to illuminate said well bearing component. D1 discloses an illumination from the lower/upper surface, however it is well known in the art to use lateral illumination as disclosed in document D6 so that the subject-matter of claim 76 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. Dependent claims 2 to 12, 41 to 75, 77, 79 to 81, 83 and 85 to 95 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 to D6 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- Paragraph line 16 to 20 of page 45 renders the scope of the application and therefore
 the scope of protection very obscure because the features which could be combined
 in different embodiments are not mentioned so that the corresponding object is not
 defined (Article 6 PCT).
- 2. The "spirit and scope" clause used page 54, line 25 is vague and unclear and serves only to cast unnecessary doubt upon the intended scope of the claims (Article 6 PCT).
- 3. Claims 1 and 13 refer to a method of identifying an image of a well in an image of a well bearing component. However, all the step defined in the claims refers to a method of acquiring an image and certainly not to a method of identifying an object in an image. The claims are therefore unclear (Article 6 PCT).
- 4. Some of the claims refer to a focal point of a feature. This expression is very unclear because the feature is not define (Article 6 PCT).